

Proposal Title :	Permissibility o	of Child Care Ce	ntres on select RE1 zoned	d sites within City o	of Parramatta
Proposal Summa	Care Centres, a	s a permitted u	the Parramatta Local Envi se within Schedule 1 Addi within the City of Parramat	itional permitted us	
PP Number :	PP_2017_COP#	AR_004_00	Dop File No :	17/06269	
posal Details					
Date Planning Proposal Receive	08-May-2017		LGA covered :	City of Parra	matta
Region :	Metro(Parra)		RPA :	City of Parra	matta Council
State Electorate :	PARRAMATTA		Section of the Act	55 - Planning	g Proposal
LEP Type :	Housekeeping				
cation Details	;				
Street :	Jubilee Park Child Ca	are Centre			
Suburb :	Jubilee Park	City :	Harris Park	Postcode :	2150
Land Parcel :	Lot 1, 2, 3, 4 DP 210694, Lot 1 and 3 DP 21458, Lot 1, 5, 6 DP 219646, Lot 1 DP 381062, Lot 1 DP 524232, Lot 1 and 3 DP 529663, Lot 2 and 3 DP 363574, Lot 2 DP 513301, Lot X, Y, Z DP 407797, Part Lot 35 DP 255, Lot 6 DP 537776, Lot 62 DP 633712, Lot 2 DP 615843, Lot 7047 DP 1060682, Lot 9 DP 567395				
Street :	KU Rydalmere Presc		in Nudu	Dectorde	2116
Suburb :	Rydalmere Lot 972 DP 726684	City :		Postcode :	2110
Land Parcel		Children Cont	ro Arthur Dhillin Dork		
Street :			re, Arthur Phillip Park	Dectorde	2452
Suburb :	Redbank Road	City :	Northmead	Postcode :	2152
Land Parcel	Lot 53 DP128577		0		
Street :			Centre, 12 Bartlett Street		2445
	Ermington	City :		Postcode :	2115
	Lot W DP 36847				
Land Parcel :					
Suburb : Land Parcel : Street : Suburb :	35 South Street Rydalmere	City :		Postcode :	2116

DoP Planning Officer Contact Details

Contact Name :	Alicia Hall
Contact Number :	0298601587
Contact Email :	alicia.hall@planning.nsw.gov.au

RPA Contact Details

Contact Name :	Sonia Jacenko
Contact Number :	0298065751
Contact Email :	sjacenko@cityofparramatta.nsw.gov.au

DoP Project Manager Contact Details

Contact Name :	Adrian Hohenzollern
Contact Number :	0298601505
Contact Email :	adrian.hohenzollern@planning.nsw.gov.au

Land Release Data

Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :		Consistent with Strategy	
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been	Yes		
complied with :			
If No, comment :	The Department's Lobbyist Conta are no records of contact with lol	-	
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists?	Νο		
If Yes, comment :	To the best of the knowledge of the regional team, the Department's Code of Practice in relation to communications and meetings with Lobbyists has been complied with. Sydney Region West (Parramatta) has not met with any lobbyists in relation to this proposal, nor has the Director been advised of any meetings between other departmental officers and lobbyists concerning this proposal.		
Supporting notes	4		
Internal Supporting Notes :	Additional information was receiv regarding the flood affectation of Preschool centre sites.		
External Supporting Notes :			

Adequacy Assessment

Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment : The proposal seeks to permit child care centres as an additional permitted use to Schedule 1 of the Parramatta Local Environmental Plan 2011 on 5 select sites zoned RE1 Public Recreation within the Parramatta Local Government Area.

Of the 5 sites this proposal relates to, child care centres are currently operating on 4 of the sites;

1. Jubilee Park Childcare centre - Jubilee Lane, Harris Park. The centre is operated by The City of Parramatta Council;

2. KU Rydalmere Preschool - 89 - 91 Park Road, Rydalmere. This centre is privately operated on land leased from the Crown where Council is Trust Manager;

3. Northmead Redbank Children's Centre - Aruther Phillip Park, Redbank Road, Northmead. The centre is operated by The City of Parramatta Council; and

4. Ermington Possum Patch Childcare Centre - 12 Bartlett Street, Ermington. The centre is operated by The City of Parramatta Council.

Permitting child care centres as a use would allow these centres to expand or redevelop should the demand for child care increase.

The inclusion of child care centres as a permitted use to the 5th site would allow the Rydalmere Bowling Club, zoned RE1 Public Recreation, to be used for the purposes of a child care centre.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment : The Planning proposal seeks to achieve the intended objectives by: * amending Schedule 1 Additional permitted uses to identify these sites and permit child care centres with development consent.

BACKGROUND

In the preparation of the Parramatta Local Environmental Plan 2011, Parramatta City Council resolved to prohibit child care centres as a land use within RE1 Public Recreation zones.

Existing centres continue to operate under existing use rights, however these centres cannot be altered or expanded unless the change:

(a) involves only alterations or additions that are minor in nature, and

(b) does not involve an increase of more than 10% in the floor space of the premises associated with the existing use, and

(c) does not involve the rebuilding of the premises associated with the existing use, and (d) does not involve a significant intensification of that existing use.

Therefore, while these centres can continue to operate at their current capacity, unless a change is made to the current planning controls, there is no opportunity for these centres to expand or redevelop regardless of community demand for child care.

Child care centres are permitted with consent in RE1 Public Recreation zones within a number of other Local Environmental Plans, including:

- Hornsby LEP 2013;
- The Hills LEP 2012;
- Hawkesbury LEP 2012; and

- Holroyd LEP 2013.

Also the prohibition of Child Care Centres on RE1 zoned land restricts councils from leasing RE1 land with existing buildings and facilities to interested child care service providers. The Rydalmere Bowling Club recently went through an Expression of Interest process for the future lease of the land. A private child care provider submitted an Expression of Interest but as Child Care Centres are not a permitted use within the RE1 Public Recreation zone the Expression of Interest cannot proceed.

Finally, a recent voluntary planing agreement resulted in some funds being available to upgrade child care facilities within the Parramatta CBD. The Jubilee Park Child Care Centre, is located within the Parramatta CBD, however, under the current controls redevelopment is not possible.

The inclusion of 'child care centres' as a permitted use within the RE1 Public Recreation zone on a site by site basis rather than as a permitted use within the RE1 Public Recreation land use table will ensure the objectives of RE1 PUblic recreation zones are not compromised but will allow the expansion or redevelopment of these 5 sites and facilitate an increase in child care places should the demand arise.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes

- b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :
- * May need the Director General's agreement

4.3 Flood Prone Land6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes6.3 Site Specific Provisions

Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain :	SECTION 117 DIRECTIONS The proposal is consistent with all the relevant s117 Directions except as follows:
	4.3 FLOOD PRONE LAND This direction applies as the planning proposal seeks to alter a provision that affects flood prone land. A proposal must include provisions that give effect to and are consistent with NSW government policy on flooding.
	Specifically the proposal seeks to permit child care centres on land that is identified as flood prone.
	The planning proposal identifies two of the centres, the Jubilee Park Child Care Centre and the KU Rydalmere Preschool are on land identified as flood prone. The proposal states that the proposed changes are of minor significance and will not impact on flooding across the area. Further a detailed Flood Investigation Report would be required to be prepared at the DA stage, should future redevelopment of these facilities occur.
	Both the Parramatta LEP 2011 and the Parramatta Development Control Plan 2011 contain provisions that seek to minimise flood risk and avoid adverse flooding impacts and therefore, it is reasonable to expect that any future redevelopment of these sites will require a detailed Flood Investigation Report to be undertaken at the Development Application stage. If the proposed development did not meet the requirements of the LEP and DCP provisions, it is unlikely consent would be issued to permit the expansion

or redevelopment of these sites.

Consequently it is considered that any inconsistency with this direction is of minor significance.

It is recommended that the Secretary's delegate agree, that the inconsistency with s117 Direction 4.3 is of minor significance.

6.2 RESERVING LAND FOR PUBLIC PURPOSES

This direction applies as the proposal seeks to alter a provision relating to the use of land reserved for a public purposes. The intent of this objective is to facilitate the provision of public services and facilities by reserving land for public purposes.

The City of Parramatta has identified child care services as a service in critical demand by the community. Child care centres operate on 4 of the 5 subject sites but these centres operate under existing use rights and are unable to expand or redevelop.

The 5th site, the Rydalmere Bowling Club, comprises existing buildings and facilities that could be used for the provision of public services and facilities such as child care centres, however child care centres are not permissible in the RE1 zone.

The proposed inclusion of child care centres as an additional permitted on the five currently developed sites would facilitate the provision or expansion of child care services and will not result in the reduction of public open space.

Therefore it is recommended that the Secretary's delegate agree, that the inconsistency with s117 Direction 6.2 be supported.

6.3 SITE SPECIFIC PROVISIONS

This direction applies as the proposal will allow a particular development to be carried out. The intent of this direction is to discourage unnecessarily restrictive site specific planning controls. A proposal may be inconsistent with the terms of this direction if the inconsistencies are of minor significance.

4 of the 5 sites contain existing child care centres the inclusion of child care centres as a land use, permitted with consent, will legitimise this use on these sites.

The inclusion of child care centres to the Rydalmere Bowling Club site is not considered to be a restrictive planning control, the site contains existing buildings and facilities and allowing the site to be potentially used for a child care centre is broadening the land uses on this site.

Therefore, the inclusion of child care centre as a land use permitted with consent on these 5 sites is considered to be of minor significance.

STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICIES The planning proposal is not inconsistent with any State Environmental Planning Policies.

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

The mapping provided is considered adequate.

Additional Permitted Uses Maps have not been included. Council officers have advised that the majority of the additional permitted uses contained in Schedule 1 Additional Permitted Uses have not been mapped.

It is considered that Additional Permitted Uses Maps are not required for the purposes of this proposal and that this is consistent with previous practice.

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment : As the proposal is considered low impact, a 14 day exhibition period is satisfactory.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria?

If No, comment :

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date : October 2011

Comments in relationThe Parramatta Local Environmental Plan 2011 came into effect on the day it was publishedto Principal LEP :on the NSW Legislative website on 7 October 2011.

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal :	A planning proposal is considered the best way to achieve the inclusion of child care centres as an additional permitted use, to Schedule 1 Additional permitted uses, on select RE1 Public Recreation zones sites within the City of Parramatta Local Government Area.
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	A PLAN FOR GROWING SYDNEY A Plan for Growing Sydney provides an overarching strategic vision for development across the Greater Sydney Metropolitan Region with a emphasis on livability, economic growth and environmental protection with a focus on the location of housing, infrastructure, employment and open space.
	The proposal is considered generally consistent with A Plan for Growing Sydney.
	DRAFT WEST CENTRAL DISTRICT PLAN The draft West Central District Plan identifies priorities and actions that relevant planning authorities will need to consider in strategic planning activities and planning proposals to realise the vision for the district.
	The proposal does not address the the draft West Central District Plan. It is recommended that the proposal be amended to address the Draft West Central District Plan prior to public exhibition and will be conditioned accordingly.
Environmental social economic impacts :	ENVIRONMENTAL
economic impacts .	FLOODING
	As discussed previously, two (2) of the sites are flood affected. It is considered that this can be appropriately addressed. The sites will not be able to be redeveloped or expanded if flood affectation cannot be appropriately addressed at the development application stage.
	The proposed amendments are generally minor and seek to permit child care centres on sites that already contain existing child care centres, or buildings and facilities that could be used to enable child care services. It is considered unlikely that the proposal will have any significant impacts on the environment.

SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC

The proposal seeks to allow, with consent, child care centres as a land use on 5 sites with the Parramatta local government area. 4 of the 5 sites are currently operating child care centres, with the 5th site containing an existing structure (Rydalmere Bowling Club). This land use will allow existing child care centres to expand or redevelop and would allow the Rydalmere Bowling Club site to be used as a child care centre.

As the sites currently contain existing structures the inclusion of child care centres as an additional permitted use will not undermine the existing supply of open space to the community. Further, the inclusion of this use will allow the sites to expand their services should the demand for child care arise, therefore, it would be reasonable to anticipate positive social and economic benefits.

Assessment Process

D

Jubilee Park Child Care Site - Flood Affectation.pdf

Proposal type :	Minor		Community Consultation Period :	14 Days		
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months		Delegation :	DDG		
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)			*			
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No				
(2)(a) Should the matte	r proceed ?	Yes				
If no, provide reasons :						
Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No					
If Yes, reasons :						
Identify any additional s	tudies, if required, :					
If Other, provide reasor	IS :			×		
Identify any internal cor	sultations, if required :					
No internal consultation	on required					
Is the provision and fun	ding of state infrastructur	re relevant	to this plan? No			
If Yes, reasons :						
ocuments						
Document File Name			DocumentType Na	ame	Is Public	
01. Cover Letter.pdf			Proposal Coverin	g Letter	Yes	
02. Planning Proposal	-		Proposal		Yes	
03. Council Report - A			Proposal		Yes	
04. Council Resolution 05. IHAP Report - Marc			Proposal Proposal		Yes Yes	
06. Minutes of IHAP - N	-		Proposal		Yes	

Proposal

Yes

Permissibility of Child Care	Centres on select RE1 z	zoned sites within City of Parramatta
------------------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------------------

89-91 Park Road, Rydalmere - KU Kindergaten - Flood Affectation.pdf Proposal

Affectation.pdf	
Planning Team Recomn	nendation
Preparation of the plannir	ng proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions
S.117 directions:	4.3 Flood Prone Land 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 6.3 Site Specific Provisions
Additional Information :	DELEGATION AND PLAN MAKING FUNCTION The City of Parramatta Council has not requested delegation of plan making functions in relation to this proposal, accordingly delegation has not been issued.
	GATEWAY DETERMINATION As the matter is of a local, minor, planning matter, in accordance with Council's position it is recommended that the Gateway determination function be exercised by the Director, Sydney Region West.
	RECOMMENDATION AND GATEWAY CONDITIONS
	It is recommended that the planning proposal proceeds, subject to the following conditions:
	1. Prior to public exhibition, the planning proposal is to be amended to include an assessment of the consistency of the proposal with the draft West Central District Plan.
	2. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Act as follows:
	 (a) the planning proposal is classified as low impact as described in A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning and Environment 2016) and must be made publicly available for a minimum of 14 days; and (b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning and Environment 2016).
	3. No consultation is required with public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of the Act.
	4. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).
	5. The time frame for completing the LEP is to be 9 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.
Supporting Reasons :	The inclusion of child care centres as a land use to these 5 sites will legitimise child care centres as a use on the sites and allow the redevelopment and expansion of existing centres should the demand arise.
Signature:	terbant
Printed Name:	Tessa Parmeter Date: 17.5.17